SEP 2 4 2018

#### ON BEHALF OF AVISTA CORPORATION

Boise, Idaho

DAVID J. MEYER

VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF COUNSEL FOR REGULATORY & GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

P.O. BOX 3727

1411 EAST MISSION AVENUE

SPOKANE, WASHINGTON 99220-3727

TELEPHONE: (509) 495-4316 FACSIMILE: (509) 495-8851 DAVID.MEYER@AVISTACORP.COM

#### ON BEHALF OF HYDRO ONE LIMITED

ELIZABETH THOMAS, PARTNER KARI VANDER STOEP, PARTNER

K&L GATES LLP

925 FOURTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900

TELEPHONE: (206) 623-7580 FACSIMILE: (206) 370-6190 LIZ.THOMAS@KLGATES.COM

SEATTLE, WA 981014-1158

KARI. VANDERSTOEP@KLGATES. COM

#### BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT	)
APPLICATION OF HYDRO ONE LIMITED	) CASE NO. AVU-E-17-09
(ACTING THROUGH ITS INDIRECT	) CASE NO. AVU-G-17-05
SUBSIDIARY, OLYMPUS EQUITY LLC)	)
AND	)
AVISTA CORPORATION	)SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY
FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING PROPOSED	) OF
TRANSACTION	) JAMES D. SCARLETT

FOR HYDRO ONE LIMITED

# 1 I. INTRODUCTION Please state your name, business address and present 2 Q. 3 position with Hydro One Limited. 4 My name is James D. ("Jamie") Scarlett, and my Α. 5 business address is 483 Bay Street, South Tower, 8th Floor, 6 Toronto, Ontario M5G 2P5. I am Executive Vice President and 7 Chief Legal Officer for Hydro One Limited ("Hydro One"). Did you submit prefiled direct or rebuttal testimony 8 9 in this proceeding? 10 Α. No. Are you sponsoring any exhibits that accompany your 11 Ο. 12 testimony? 13 Yes. Attached to my testimony are: Α. • Exh. No. 10, Schedule 1 - July 2018 Letter Agreement 14 15 between Hydro One and Her Majesty The Queen in Right 16 of Ontario 17 • Exh. No. 10, Schedule 2 - Schedule 1 of the Urgent 18 Priorities Act, 2018, titled the Hydro One 19 Accountability Act, 2018

• Exh. No. 10, Schedule 3 - Governance Agreement

20

21

Scarlett, Supp 1 Hydro One Limited

1	A table of contents for my testimony is as follows:
2	I. INTRODUCTION
3	II. JUNE 7, 2018 ELECTION
4	III. JULY 11, 2018 LETTER AGREEMENT
5	IV. HYDRO ONE ACCOUNTABILITY ACT, 2018
6	V. KEEPING THE COMMISSION APPRISED OF DEVELOPMENTS IN ONTARIO
7	16
8	VI. THE FUTURE OF THE GOVERNANCE AGREEMENT20
9	VII. THE NORTH AMERICAN FREE TRADE AGREEMENT (NAFTA)24
10	VIII. SETTLEMENT COMMITMENTS DESIGNED TO PROTECT AVISTA'S
11	INDEPENDENCE AND FINANCIAL HEALTH
12	IX. PROPOSED NEW OR AMENDED COMMITMENTS43
13	X. ADOPTION OF MAYO SCHMIDT TESTIMONY48
14	
15	Summary of Testimony
16	Q. Please summarize your testimony.
17	A. My testimony addresses the following topics: (i) the
18	June 7, 2018 election of a new Ontario government, (ii) the July
19	11, 2018 Letter Agreement ("July 2018 Letter Agreement") and
20	the resignation of Hydro One's Board and retirement of Hydro
21	One's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") Mayo Schmidt, (iii) the
22	Hydro One Accountability Act, 2018, (iv) Hydro One's and
23	Avista's efforts to keep the Commission apprised of developments
24	in Ontario, (v) the future of Hydro One's Governance Agreement
25	with the Province, (vi) the impact of NAFTA on the Proposed
26	Transaction, (vii) the settlement commitments designed to
27	protect Avista's independence and financial health from Scarlett, Supp 2 Hydro One Limited

- 1 Provincial interference, (viii) Avista's and Hydro One's
- 2 proposal to add a new commitment and amend its commitment
- 3 regarding Avista's post-merger board in response to the events
- 4 after the June 7, 2018 Ontario election involving Hydro One,
- 5 and (ix) my adoption of Mayo Schmidt's previously filed
- 6 testimony and exhibits in this proceeding.

# 8 II. JUNE 7, 2018 ELECTION

- 9 Q. Please describe the outcome of the Province of
- 10 Ontario's election on June 7, 2018.
- 11 A. On June 7, 2018, voters in Ontario elected a new
- 12 government that will be led by the Progressive Conservative
- 13 Party, replacing the Liberal Party government led by Kathleen
- 14 Wynne. The Premier is Doug Ford of the Progressive Conservative
- 15 Party. The Ontario Premier is the equivalent of a state governor
- 16 in the United States. The Progressive Conservative Party has a
- 17 substantial majority in the Legislative Assembly of Ontario.
- 18 Q. Has the new government taken office?
- 19 A. The new government was sworn in on June 29, 2018.
- Q. What promises did the Progressive Conservative Party
- 21 make during its campaign regarding Hydro One?
- 22 A. During the campaign, promises were made by the
- 23 Progressive Conservative Party to remove Hydro One's CEO Mayo
- 24 Schmidt and some or all of the members of Hydro One's Board of

- 1 Directors. The Progressive Conservative Party also promised to
- 2 reduce electricity rates for Ontario residents.
- 3 Q. What mechanisms were available to the government to
- 4 fulfill these campaign promises regarding Hydro One's CEO and
- 5 Board of Directors?
- A. If the government wished to seek to remove some or
- 7 all of Hydro One's Board and its CEO, they could accomplish
- 8 these objectives either (i) through procedures established by
- 9 Section 4.7 of the Governance Agreement (the "Governance
- 10 Agreement") between Hydro One and Her Majesty the Queen in Right
- 11 of Ontario (the "Province"), or (ii) through legislation. The
- 12 Governance Agreement is Exh. No. 10, Schedule 3 to my
- 13 supplemental testimony.
- 14 Q. Please explain the procedures in Section 4.7 of the
- 15 Governance Agreement.
- 16 A. The Governance Agreement establishes an independent
- 17 Hydro One Board with the following features: the CEO is selected
- 18 by the Board (not the Province), the Province nominates 40% of
- 19 the Board's directors (or its proportionate share, whichever is
- 20 less) proposed for election, the Nominating and Governance
- 21 Committee (now known as the "Governance Committee") nominates
- 22 the remaining directors proposed for election, and other than
- 23 the CEO, each director must be independent of Hydro One and the
- 24 Province.

- 1 In order to remove the Hydro One Board, the Province must
- 2 follow the procedures in Section 4.7 of the Governance
- 3 Agreement:
- 4 (1) serve a Removal Notice on Hydro One requesting the
- 5 removal of Hydro One's Board at a shareholder meeting (the
- 6 Removal Notice does not apply to Hydro One's CEO even though he
- 7 is a Board member; the Province also can exempt the chair of
- 8 the Board from its Removal Notice) (Section 4.7.1);
- 9 (2) the chair of the Board will establish a committee
- 10 comprising representatives of Hydro One's five largest
- 11 shareholders, except the Province (the "Ad Hoc Nominating
- 12 Committee") (Section 4.7.2);
- 13 (3) the Ad Hoc Nominating Committee and the Province will
- 14 work together to develop a slate of new Board members to be
- 15 considered at an upcoming shareholder meeting (the "Removal
- 16 Meeting") (Section 4.7.3);
- 17 (4) once the slate of new Board members is established,
- 18 the shareholder Removal Meeting must be held within 60 days
- 19 (Section 4.7.4); and
- 20 (5) at the Removal Meeting, the Province must vote in favor
- 21 of the slate of new Board members established by the Ad Hoc
- 22 Nominating Committee and the Province (Section 4.7.6).
- 23 Under the Section 4.7 procedures, Hydro One's shareholders
- 24 must remove the entire Hydro One Board; they cannot remove just

1 certain Board members. (Section 4.7.1) Further, the Board cannot 2 be replaced with any board members who were part of the previous 3 Board. (Section 4.7.3) All nominees must be vetted by the Ad Hoc Nominating Committee (Sections 4.7.3 and 4.3) and must meet 4 5 qualification standards set in Section 4.2.1 of 6 Governance Agreement -- each director nominee must be 7 individual of high quality and integrity who has: (i) 8 significant experience and expertise in business or that is 9 applicable to business, (ii) served in a senior executive or 10 leadership position, (iii) broad exposure to and understanding 11 of the Canadian or international business community, (iv) skills for directing the management of a company, and (v) motivation 12 13 and availability, in each case, to the extent appropriate for a business of the complexity, size and scale of the business of 14 Hydro One and on a basis consistent with the highest standards 15 16 for directors of leading Canadian publicly listed companies. 17 Section 4.7 does not permit shareholders to hire or fire Hydro One's CEO. Section 4.7 simply provides a process by which 18 Hydro One's shareholders can install a new Board. 19 Section 20 2.3(b) of the Governance Agreement reserves to the Board the 21 authority to appoint, terminate, supervise and compensate the 22 CEO, Chief Financial Officer and other senior officers of Hydro 23 One.

Q. Please explain the legislative path available to the Scarlett, Supp 6
Hydro One Limited

- 1 government.
- 2 A. As an alternative to following the Section 4.7
- 3 procedures in the Governance Agreement, the government also had
- 4 the option of passing legislation during the special legislative
- 5 session convened in July that would give the Province the
- 6 authority to remove and replace the Hydro One CEO and its Board.

8

# III. JULY 11, 2018 LETTER AGREEMENT

- 9 Q. What did Hydro One's executive team and Board do after
- 10 the Progressive Conservative Party took a majority of the seats
- in the Ontario Legislature?
- 12 A. On July 4, 2018, discussions were held between
- 13 representatives of the Board and of the government,
- 14 respectively. On July 5, 2018, discussions were held between
- 15 legal counsel to the Board and a representative of the
- 16 government and privileged and confidential discussions were held
- 17 among the Board Chair, another representative of the Board and
- 18 the Board's legal counsel. Subsequently, representatives of
- 19 the Board, the Board's legal counsel and representatives of the
- 20 government held various discussions and meetings during July 6,
- 21 2018 through July 8, 2018. These discussions involved
- 22 representatives from the Cabinet Office, and representatives of
- 23 the Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines, the
- 24 Ministry of Finance and the Attorney General, and led to the

- 1 July 2018 Letter Agreement being approved by Cabinet and entered
- 2 into between Hydro One and Ontario as represented by the
- 3 Honorable Greg Rickford, the Minister of Energy, Northern
- 4 Development and Mines. The July 2018 Letter Agreement between
- 5 Hydro One and Her Majesty The Queen in Right of Ontario is
- 6 attached as Exh. No. 10, Schedule 1.

# 7 Q. Why did Hydro One enter into the July 2018 Letter

## Agreement with the Province?

8

9 The replacement of the Board and the CEO was one of Α. 10 the campaign promises made by the Progressive Conservative Party during the election campaign for the election that took place 11 on June 7, 2018. In light of this, the Board of Hydro One 12 13 determined that it would be in the best interests of Hydro One 14 to voluntarily resign to facilitate the orderly replacement of 15 the Board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. Rather 16 than wait for the government to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement or pursue legislation with potentially 17 18 intrusive provisions, a process for replacing the Board was documented in the July 2018 Letter Agreement. In doing so, the 19 20 Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of 21 Section 4.7 of the Governance Agreement. In order to serve the 22 best interests of the company, the parties agreed to forego the 23 requirement to hold a shareholders' meeting, thereby expediting 24 the process and reducing uncertainty.

Scarlett, Supp 8 Hydro One Limited

- Q. What process for selecting the new Board was established in the July 2018 Letter Agreement?
- 3 A. The July 2018 Letter Agreement provides that (i) an
- 4 Ad Hoc Nominating Committee comprised of representatives of each
- 5 of Hydro One's five largest shareholders (or a lesser number
- 6 depending on how many of the five largest shareholders wished
- 7 to serve on the Ad Hoc Nominating Committee), excluding the
- 8 Province, would be formed to nominate six directors of the new
- 9 Board; and (ii) the Province would nominate four directors. The
- 10 new directors must meet the requirements set out in the
- 11 Governance Agreement. As noted above, Section 4.2 of the
- 12 Governance Agreement outlines the qualifications for director
- 13 nominees. The new Board would then appoint a replacement Chair
- 14 of the Board from among their number consistent with Section
- 15 3.2 of the Governance Agreement.
- The process prescribed by the July 2018 Letter Agreement
- 17 to select Hydro One's new 10-member Board, which consists of
- 18 four Provincial designees and six designees chosen by the Ad
- 19 Hoc Nominating Committee of Hydro One's largest investors
- 20 besides the Province, follows the requirements of Sections 4.3
- 21 and 4.7 of the Governance Agreement.
- 22 Q. Has the process been completed for selecting Hydro
- One's new Board as provided in the July 2018 Letter Agreement?
- A. Yes. As explained in the Supplemental Testimony of Scarlett, Supp 9

  Hydro One Limited

- 1 Hydro One's then Chair Thomas Woods ("Woods Testimony"), the Ad
- 2 Hoc Nominating Committee was formed and three of the five
- 3 largest Hydro One shareholders participated, excluding the
- 4 Province. The Ad Hoc Nominating Committee selected six members
- 5 for the Board, and the Province selected four members. Hydro
- 6 One announced the members of the new Board on August 14, 2018
- 7 and Thomas Woods as the Chair on September 7, 2018. See Woods
- 8 Testimony, §§ II and III.
- 9 Q. Are the new Board members announced on August 14
- 10 appointed on an interim basis?
- 11 A. No. As with previous Board members, the new Board
- 12 members are subject to annual approval of the Hydro One
- 13 shareholders, consistent with the Governance Agreement and the
- 14 Business Corporations Act (Ontario) ("OBCA"). Section 2 of the
- 15 July 2018 Letter Agreement provides:
- 16 Each of the Replacement Directors nominated and appointed
- 17 to the Board pursuant to section 1 of this Agreement shall
- serve on the Board until the earlier of the 2019 annual
- meeting of shareholders of Hydro One or until his or [sic]
- resignation or his or her successor is elected or appointed
- in accordance with the Governance Agreement and the OBCA.
- 22
- 23 Exh. No. 10, Schedule 1, § 2.
- Q. What led to the retirement of former CEO Mayo Schmidt?
- 25 A. The Governance Agreement requires that the CEO be
- 26 appointed by the Board and annually have his appointment
- 27 confirmed by a special resolution of the Board (i.e., two-thirds

- 1 of the votes cast at a directors' meeting, or consented to in
- 2 writing by all directors). See Section 3.3 of the Governance
- 3 Agreement. In light of the events outlined above and the
- 4 anticipated difficulty of him attempting to carry out his duties
- 5 as CEO without the support of Hydro One's single largest
- 6 shareholder, the former CEO Mayo Schmidt retired from his
- 7 positions, having determined that it would be in the best
- 8 interests of Hydro One and its stakeholders to do so.

# 9 Q. What process for selecting the new CEO was established

## in the July 2018 Letter Agreement?

10

11 A. Pursuant to Section 3.3 of the Governance Agreement

12 and Section 13 of the July 2018 Letter Agreement, the new Board

13 will appoint a replacement CEO, who will become the eleventh

14 Board member. The Board Chair will establish a CEO Selection

15 Committee, which will in turn appoint an Executive Recruiting

16 firm. Internal and external candidates will be interviewed,

17 and a preferred candidate will be selected. Negotiations with

18 the preferred candidate will then take place in an effort to

19 enter into an agreement to be appointed CEO. The Board will

20 proceed with all deliberate speed in the process of finding a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> At the time of the Joint Application, Hydro One had 15 directors, one of whom did not stand for re-election at the company's 2018 annual meeting of shareholders. At the time of the July 2018 Letter Agreement, the Hydro One board consisted of 14 directors, 13 members plus President and CEO Mayo Schmidt. Pursuant to the July 2018 Letter Agreement, the new board will initially consist of 10 directors plus the new CEO.

- 1 CEO. The status of the CEO search is described in Woods
- 2 Testimony, § IV.
- 3 Q. Does the July 2018 Letter Agreement contain any other
- 4 provisions besides those described above relating to the
- 5 replacement of the Hydro One Board and CEO?
- A. Hydro One has agreed to consult with the Province in
- 7 respect of future matters of executive compensation. Further,
- 8 Paul Dobson, Hydro One's Chief Financial Officer, has been
- 9 appointed as acting CEO until such time as the replacement board
- 10 of directors can appoint a new CEO.
- 11 Q. Does the July 2018 Letter Agreement impact Avista
- 12 employees?
- 13 A. The July 2018 Letter Agreement has no impact on Avista
- 14 employees. All actions taken pursuant to the July 2018 Letter
- 15 Agreement involve only the replacement of Hydro One's Board and
- 16 retirement of Hydro One's CEO.
- Q. Does the July 2018 Letter Agreement impact the Merger
- 18 Agreement between Avista and Hydro One?
- 19 A. The July 2018 Letter Agreement has no impact on the
- 20 Merger Agreement between Hydro One and Avista. Nothing in the
- 21 July 2018 Letter Agreement addresses the Merger Agreement in
- 22 any way, and Hydro One has stated on multiple occasions since
- 23 the July 2018 Letter Agreement was executed that it remains

- bound by the Merger Agreement and remains committed to the
  merger.

  Q. Does the July 2018 Letter Agreement include new merger
  terms for Avista executives?

  A. No. The July 2018 Letter Agreement does not include
- 6 "new merger terms for Avista executives" but rather documents
  7 the agreement between Hydro One and the Province for the orderly
  8 replacement of the board of directors of Hydro One and the
  9 retirement of Mayo Schmidt as the chief executive officer
  10 effective July 11.
- Q. Does the July 2018 Letter Agreement impact the
  Settlement Stipulation signed by the parties?
- A. The July 2018 Letter Agreement has no impact on the Settlement Stipulation signed by the parties. Nothing in the July 2018 Letter Agreement addresses the Settlement Stipulation filed in this docket, and Hydro One has stated on multiple occasions since the July 2018 Letter Agreement was executed that it remains committed to the merger.

# 20 IV. HYDRO ONE ACCOUNTABILITY ACT, 2018

- Q. Did the new government convene a special legislative session after the June 7, 2018 election?
- A. Yes. The new government convened a special legislative session on July 11, 2018.

Scarlett, Supp 13 Hydro One Limited

# ${\tt Q}.$ Did the Progressive Conservative Party introduce

# 2 legislation impacting Hydro One?

- 3 A. On Monday, July 16, 2018, the new Provincial
- 4 government introduced the Urgent Priorities Act, 2018, which,
- 5 included as Schedule 1, the Hydro One Accountability Act, 2018.
- 6 The Hydro One Accountability Act, 2018 is attached as Exh. No.
- 7 10, Schedule 2.

9

# 8 Q. Please summarize the requirements of the Hydro One

# Accountability Act.

- 10 A. The Hydro One Accountability Act (the "Act") addresses
- 11 concerns about compensation for Hydro One executives located in
- 12 Ontario. It requires the board of Hydro One to establish a new
- 13 compensation framework for the Board of Directors, CEO, and
- 14 other executives in consultation with the Province and the other
- 15 five largest shareholders. The Act gives the Management Board
- 16 of Cabinet authority to approve this compensation framework and
- 17 any amendments to it as well as to issue directives governing
- 18 the compensation of the directors, CEO, and other executives.
- 19 The Act also amends the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998 to
- 20 require the Ontario Energy Board to exclude any amount in
- 21 respect of compensation paid to the CEO and executives from
- 22 consumer rates for Hydro One or its subsidiaries.
- The Act requires Hydro One to annually publish on its
- 24 website a record of the total compensation of executives as

Scarlett, Supp 14

Hydro One Limited

- 1 prescribed by regulation. The Act also requires Hydro One to
- 2 publish on its website any proposed changes to its compensation
- 3 frameworks for the board, CEO, or other executives at least 30
- 4 days prior to the date on which it seeks Management Board of
- 5 Cabinet approval for those changes pursuant to the Act.
- 6 The new legislation does not change the fact that Hydro
- 7 One is a publicly traded commercial entity separate from the
- 8 Province of Ontario.
- 9 Q. Please describe the legislative status of the Act and
- 10 when it became law.
- 11 A. The Urgent Priorities Act, which includes Schedule 1,
- 12 the Act, went through first, second, and third readings in the
- 13 Ontario Legislature. The Legislature did not amend the
- 14 legislation. The Urgent Priorities Act then received Royal
- 15 Assent on July 25, 2018, which is the day it came into force as
- 16 the law of Ontario. Schedule 1 provides that the Act comes into
- 17 force on a day to be named by proclamation of the Lieutenant
- 18 Governor. That proclamation was delivered on August 15, and
- 19 the Act is now effective.
- Q. Will the Act apply to the executives of Avista if the
- 21 merger of Hydro One and Avista is consummated?
- 22 A. No. As defined in the Act, the term "subsidiary"
- 23 excludes any subsidiary incorporated in a jurisdiction outside
- 24 of Canada.

- Q. What, if you know, was the impetus for the Act?
- 2 A. It appears that the Act was the result of a campaign
- 3 promise made by the Progressive Conservative Party to address
- 4 executive compensation at Hydro One. The Act does not apply to
- 5 executives of Hydro One's subsidiaries incorporated outside of
- 6 Canada and hence, would not apply to Avista if the merger is
- 7 consummated.
- 8 Q. Will the Act impact the Merger Agreement between
- 9 Avista and Hydro One?
- 10 A. The Act will have no impact on the Merger Agreement
- 11 between Avista and Hydro One. As noted, the Act does not apply
- 12 to Hydro One's subsidiaries incorporated outside of Canada.
- Q. Will the Act impact the Settlement Stipulation signed
- 14 by the parties?
- 15 A. The Act will have no impact on the Settlement
- 16 Stipulation signed by parties because the Act applies only to
- 17 Hydro One's subsidiaries incorporated in Canada.

- V. KEEPING THE COMMISSION APPRISED OF DEVELOPMENTS IN ONTARIO
- 20 Q. Please describe how Hydro One and Avista have kept
- 21 the Commission apprised of developments related to Hydro One in
- 22 the most recent Province of Ontario election?
- 23 A. Hydro One, and energy policy in general, have
- 24 regularly been a focus of the media in Ontario. In this most

- recent election, statements regarding Hydro One were publicly 1 made by the various political parties and candidates running 2 during the election. It was only after the final settlement 3 conference between the Idaho parties in this proceeding on April 4 4, 2018, that electricity rates in Ontario and Hydro One's 5 executive management and compensation became a more prominent 6 7 issue. The first mention regarding a change of Hydro One's management happened on April 10, 2018. Election campaigns in 8 9 Canada are shorter than in the United States and starting in 10 June, 2018, Hydro One and Avista informed the Commission of the recent developments as it became clear they may impact Hydro 11 One, well before the scheduled evidentiary hearing or any 12 13 potential decision on the merger.
- 14 Shortly after the June 7, 2018 election, Hydro One and Avista notified the Commission of the outcome of the election 15 16 and the campaign promises made by the Ontario Progressive 17 Conservative Party regarding Hydro One in their June 20, 2018 18 Avista and Hydro One Joint Comments in Support of Stipulation 19 and Settlement in the Idaho proceedings. On June 20, 2018, 20 Hydro One still did not know how the election could impact Hydro 21 One, but chose to update the Commission regarding campaign 22 promises made by the new government well before the evidentiary hearing scheduled for July 23, 2018. 23
- Since the filing of the June 20, 2018 comments in support

  Scarlett, Supp 17

  Hydro One Limited

- 1 of the settlement, Hydro One and Avista have regularly updated
- 2 the Commission on the actions of the Ontario government with
- 3 respect to the management and Board of Directors of Hydro One,
- 4 having filed with the Commission a letter, dated July 18, 2018,
- 5 regarding the July 2018 Letter Agreement; a July 20, 2018 letter
- 6 regarding a Standard & Poor's Report; a Report, dated August
- 7 15, 2018, on Hydro One Management Changes; a September 10, 2018
- 8 Supplemental Report on Hydro One Chair and Management Changes;
- 9 and a September 14, 2018 Supplemental Report on Hydro One's S&P
- 10 Rating.
- 11 Q. Do you believe that Hydro One's acquisition of Avista
- 12 is still in the public interest?
- 13 A. Yes. Hydro One remains a strong and suitable parent
- 14 company for Avista and the deal continues to be in the public
- 15 interest. The benefits of the transaction for Avista ratepayers
- 16 remain unchanged. As was stated in the Joint Application dated
- 17 September 14, 2017, the companies are culturally aligned. Hydro
- 18 One's market capitalization is approximately three times the
- 19 size of Avista and will provide Avista with improved access to
- 20 capital markets. Hydro One is a strategic investor, rather than
- 21 a financial investor, and its interests are aligned with
- 22 Avista's for long-term success. To this end, Hydro One has made
- 23 a number of commitments to preserve Avista's ability to run its
- 24 own business on an ongoing basis, for the benefit of Avista's

1 customers. Since the Joint Application, Hydro One and Avista

2 joined other Idaho parties in reaching the Settlement

3 Stipulation filed on April 13, 2018, in this docket, and agreed

4 to significant commitments that will have both immediate and

5 long-term positive impacts on Avista's Idaho customers. Hydro

6 One stands by these commitments. The Ontario election, the July

7 2018 Letter Agreement, and subsequent events have no effect on

8 these commitments and benefits.

22

23

24

9 Further, while the cost of electricity for a typical 10 residential customer in Ontario has more than doubled over the 11 last ten years, those increases have not been driven by Hydro 12 One, which is solely a distribution and transmission utility. Over that same 10-year period, customer costs for Hydro One's 13 transmission and distribution delivery services have increased 14 15 by an average of less than 3% annually. The costs that have 16 led to the doubling of electricity costs for residential 17 customers over the past 10 years were the result of cost increases at electricity generation companies that were required 18 to comply with Provincial green power initiatives. Hydro One, 19 20 as the entity that sends the bill to customers, is often 21 incorrectly blamed for the entirety of the bill, regardless of

governance and ring-fencing commitments in the Settlement Scarlett, Supp 19

Hydro One's actual impact on the total bill. To the extent that

this may subject Hydro One to the politics in Ontario, the

Hydro One Limited

- 1 Stipulation (including Stipulated Commitment Nos. 2, 3, 9, 10,
- 2 and 42-51) were designed to ensure that Avista's customers will
- 3 not be impacted by Ontario politics.

5

#### VI. THE FUTURE OF THE GOVERNANCE AGREEMENT

- 6 Q. Do you believe that the Governance Agreement
- 7 continues to govern the relationship between the Province and
- 8 Hydro One?
- 9 A. Yes. Pursuant to Section 16 of the July 2018 Letter
- 10 Agreement between Hydro One and the Province (Exh. No. 10,
- 11 Schedule 1), except for the provisions of the Act which are
- 12 principally limited to compensation matters pertaining to Hydro
- 13 One and its subsidiaries incorporated within Canada, the
- 14 Province ratified and reaffirmed its commitment to the
- 15 Governance Agreement, which remains in full force and effect:
- 16. Reaffirmation: By entering into this Agreement, the
- 17 Province ratifies and reaffirms its obligations under the
- 18 Governance Agreement and agrees that, except as
- specifically set out in this Agreement with respect to the
- 20 subject matter hereof, (i) the execution, delivery and
- 21 effectiveness of this Agreement or any other documents
- delivered in connection herewith shall not amend, modify
- or operate as a waiver or forbearance of any right, power,
- obligation, remedy or provision under the Governance
- 25 Agreement, and (ii) such agreement shall continue in full
- force and effect.

- 28 Exh. No. 10, Schedule 1, § 16.
- The Governance Agreement requires that the Province act as
- 30 an investor and not a manager of Hydro One, and the Province's

- 1 decision-making authority in respect of Hydro One is restricted
- 2 to that of any other investor with respect to voting its shares
- 3 in any decisions that are brought forward for shareholder
- 4 approval. The Province also has the right to nominate 40 percent
- 5 of the Board of Directors (other than the CEO), but all directors
- 6 remain subject to an annual vote by all shareholders of Hydro
- 7 One.
- 8 As noted above, although the Province's rights as a
- 9 shareholder are limited by the Governance Agreement, the
- 10 Province retains legislative authority to pass legislation with
- 11 respect to subjects within its jurisdiction, such as the Act.
- 12 Q. Do you know if the Province intends to take any
- 13 further actions with respect to Hydro One?
- 14 A. I am not aware of any further actions that the
- 15 Province intends to take with respect to Hydro One. As I
- 16 mentioned above, the government has stated that it would like
- 17 to reduce electricity rates for Ontario residents. I do not
- 18 know what, if any, further actions that the Province may take
- 19 to address electricity rates, and am not aware of any pending
- 20 legislation that would impact Hydro One's management or rates.
- 21 I do not believe that any such action would affect the agreed-
- 22 upon commitments reflected in the filed Stipulated Settlement,
- 23 which insulate Avista's customers from provincial affairs, as
- 24 discussed below.

- Q. The Joint Application states that "As of July 31, 2 2017, the Province owned 49.9% of Hydro One's shares with the
- 3 remainder of shares held by private investors. Based on facts
- 4 known today and assuming the Proposed Transaction is completed,
- 5 the Province's level of ownership of Hydro One will decline to
- 6 below 45%." Does this statement continue to be accurate?
- 7 A. The statement continues to be true except that as at
- 8 August 31, 2018, the Province owns approximately 47.4% of Hydro
- 9 One's common shares. As at July 31, 2017, the Province did own
- 10 49.9% of Hydro One's shares. On January 2, 2018, the Province
- 11 announced the sale of 14,391,012 common shares of Hydro One
- 12 Limited, representing approximately 2.4% of the outstanding
- 13 common shares, to OFN Power Holdings LP, a limited partnership
- 14 wholly-owned by Ontario First Nations Sovereign Wealth LP, which
- 15 is in turn owned by 129 First Nations in Ontario at a purchase
- 16 price of \$18 per share, for a total purchase price of
- 17 \$259,038,216. That transaction fulfilled the Province's
- 18 commitment in its agreement-in-principle with the Chiefs-in-
- 19 Assembly on behalf of the First Nations in Ontario, which was
- 20 previously announced on July 12, 2016.
- 21 Immediately prior to the closing of that transaction, the
- 22 Province owned 296,803,660 common shares of Hydro One Limited,
- 23 representing approximately 49.9% of the common shares of Hydro
- 24 One. After completing the transaction, the Province owned Scarlett, Supp 22 Hydro One Limited

- 1 282,412,648 common shares of Hydro One, representing
- 2 approximately 47.4% of the common shares of Hydro One.
- 3 Q. As a follow up to the prior question, what is Hydro
- 4 One's understanding regarding the Province of Ontario's future
- 5 stake in Hydro One?
- A. Based on facts known today, and assuming the Proposed
- 7 Transaction is completed, the Province's level of ownership of
- 8 Hydro One will decline to approximately 42%. The Province has
- 9 also agreed in the Governance Agreement not to acquire
- 10 previously issued voting securities if after that acquisition,
- 11 the Province would own more than 45% of any class or series of
- 12 voting securities (including common shares of Hydro One).<sup>2</sup>
- 13 The Province will likely continue to own at least 40% of
- 14 Hydro One's shares for the foreseeable future. The Ontario
- 15 Electricity Act, 1998 restricts the Province from selling voting
- 16 securities (including common shares of Hydro One) if it would
- 17 own less than 40% of the outstanding number of voting securities
- 18 of that class or series after the sale.
- 19 If as a result of the issuance of additional voting
- 20 securities of any class or series by Hydro One, the Province

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This restriction does not apply to the acquisition by the Province of voting securities as a result of the enforcement by the Province of any security interest securing payment of debt obligations owing to the Province or to certain acquisitions of voting securities by entities related to the Province or by third party managed funds or as passive investments. This restriction also does not require the Province to sell any of the common shares of Hydro One that it currently owns, nor does it limit the Province from acquiring voting securities on an issuance by Hydro One, including pursuant to the exercise by the Province of its pre-emptive right.

would own less than 40% of the outstanding number of voting securities of that class or series, then the Province shall, subject to certain requirements, take steps to acquire as many voting securities of that class or series of voting securities as are necessary to increase the Province's ownership to not less than 40% of the outstanding number of voting securities of

7 that class or series.

In order to assist the Province in meeting its ownership 8 9 obligations under the Electricity Act, 1998, under Section 6 of 10 the Governance Agreement, Hydro One has granted the Province a pre-emptive right to subscribe for and purchase up to 45% of 11 any proposed issuance by Hydro One of voting securities or 12 13 securities that are convertible or exchangeable into voting 14 securities (other than certain specified excluded issuances). Any offered securities not subscribed for and purchased by the 15 Province pursuant to its pre-emptive right may be issued to any 16 17 other person pursuant to the proposed offering.

18

19

# VII. THE NORTH AMERICAN FREE TRADE AGREEMENT (NAFTA)

Q. Can Hydro One use NAFTA Chapter 11 to overrule or impede the Commission's authority?

A. NAFTA Chapter 11 cannot affect the scope of the
Commission's authority over Avista. NAFTA Chapter 11 only
provides for monetary awards or restitution of expropriated
Scarlett, Supp 24
Hydro One Limited

- 1 property and, therefore, cannot be used to alter or nullify a
- 2 Commission decision or regulation.<sup>3</sup> In reviewing the Fortis/CH
- 3 Energy Group merger, the New York Public Service commission
- 4 stated the following: "[A] state regulatory agency acting
- 5 lawfully within its statutory authority is not liable to a claim
- 6 of damages under NAFTA unless an entity covered by the treaty
- 7 can demonstrate that it made its investment in the state
- 8 pursuant to express commitments by the agency which were
- 9 subsequently broken."4
- To date, the United States has been a defendant 17 times
- 11 under NAFTA Chapter 11, and none of those claims involved a
- 12 foreign utility protesting a state utility commission's
- 13 decision. Not only has the U.S. State Department never lost a
- 14 NAFTA Chapter 11 claim brought by a foreign investor, but also
- 15 it has never settled such a claim.
- 16 Q. Could Hydro One use NAFTA Chapter 11 to impact
- 17 Avista's Idaho customers?
- 18 A. For the reasons stated in my prior answer, Hydro One's
- 19 understanding is that NAFTA Chapter 11 cannot affect the scope
- 20 of the Commission's authority over Avista, and Hydro One does
- 21 not believe NAFTA Chapter 11 would have an impact on Avista's

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  See NAFTA Art. 1135(1)(a),(b).

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  Joint Petition for Approval of the Acquisition of CH Energy Group, Inc. by Fortis, Inc. and Related Transactions, New York Public Service Commission Case 12-M-092, Order Authorizing Acquisition at 33 (Jun. 26, 2013) and Recommended Decision of Administrative Law Judges at 46 (May 3, 2013).

- 1 Idaho customers.
- Q. The United States, Canada, and Mexico are currently
- 3 in negotiations to potentially amend and modify NAFTA. Does
- 4 Hydro One have any knowledge regarding the extent to which the
- 5 concepts addressed in Chapter 11 will be included, modified, or
- 6 removed in a renegotiated NAFTA?
- 7 A. Hydro One's understanding of the renegotiations of
- 8 NAFTA between Canada and the U.S. are limited to what
- 9 information has been released to the public. To the extent that
- 10 Chapter 11 is being renegotiated it appears that any changes to
- 11 Chapter 11 will be likely to reduce, not expand, the scope of
- 12 relief available to investors. Given this understanding, and
- 13 because existing Chapter 11 provisions do not pose a credible
- 14 risk of impeding the Commission's authority, Hydro One does not
- 15 foresee changes to NAFTA's Chapter 11 that would change Hydro
- 16 One's understanding of NAFTA Chapter 11 or its impact on
- 17 Avista's Idaho customers.
- 18 Q. Notwithstanding the fact that Hydro One believes that
- 19 NAFTA Chapter 11 could not be used to affect the Commission's
- 20 jurisdiction over Avista or impact Avista's customers in Idaho,
- 21 is Hydro One willing to add a commitment in Idaho regarding the
- 22 Commission's jurisdiction and the venue for any potential NAFTA
- 23 dispute?
- A. Yes, for example, Oregon Stipulated Commitment No.

- 1 72, filed as part of the all-party settlement agreement in
- 2 Oregon Public Utility Commission Docket No. UM 1897 on May 25,
- 3 2018, specifically ensures that the Oregon Public Utility
- 4 Commission will retain jurisdiction over any issue that could
- 5 arise in a NAFTA Chapter 11 arbitration:

#### 6 72. North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)

Avista and Parent agree that the Commission would have jurisdiction in any future proceedings regarding any unrecovered liabilities to the State of Oregon that may result from NAFTA Chapter Eleven mediations, arbitrations, or any other litigation brought by Hydro One's shareholders under NAFTA. Only the Commission or the Oregon Attorney General may initiate such proceeding.

14 Oregon Stipulated Commitment No. 72 confirms that Hydro 15 One and Avista recognize that NAFTA does not curtail the 16 authority of the Oregon Public Utility Commission to promulgate 17 and enforce relevant rules and regulations, that Hydro One and 18 Avista explicitly recognize that the Commission's authority over 19 Avista's operations will remain unchanged by the Proposed 20 Transaction, that the Parties will comply with all applicable 21 laws and regulations, and that Hydro One and Avista recognize

23 and Avista certainly are willing to add a similar commitment in 24 Idaho.

the Oregon Public Utility Commission's jurisdiction. Hydro One

Oregon Stipulated Commitment No. 78 also explicitly states
that all disputes involving Avista will be resolved in the
appropriate state and federal regulatory bodies or courts in
Scarlett, Supp 27

Hydro One Limited

1 the United States:

	2	78.	Venue	for	and	Resolution	of	Disputes
--	---	-----	-------	-----	-----	------------	----	----------

- 3 Avista and Parent agree that the venue for disputes
- 4 regarding the operation of Avista will be in state and
- 5 federal regulatory bodies or courts of competent
- jurisdiction, as applicable, in Oregon, Washington, Idaho,
- 7 Montana or Alaska.
- 8 Oregon Stipulated Commitment No. 78 ensures that a NAFTA
- 9 Chapter 11 arbitration will not have jurisdiction over disputes
- 10 regarding the operation of Avista. Hydro One and Avista
- 11 certainly are willing to add a similar commitment in Idaho.

- 13 VIII. SETTLEMENT COMMITMENTS DESIGNED TO PROTECT AVISTA'S
  14 INDEPENDENCE AND FINANCIAL HEALTH
- 15 Q. Is there a question as to whether the Province will
- 16 directly interfere with Avista's independence and financial
- 17 health if the merger is consummated?
- 18 A. No. All of the parties to this proceeding filed a
- 19 Settlement Stipulation and Agreement with the Idaho Public
- 20 Utilities Commission (the "Commission") on April 13, 2018
- 21 ("Stipulated Settlement"). The Stipulated Settlement includes
- 22 73 merger commitments (each, a "Stipulated Commitment,"
- 23 collectively, the "Stipulated Commitments") that were designed
- 24 by all of the parties to ensure the independence and financial
- 25 health of Avista. Through Hydro One's and Avista's opening
- 26 testimony filed on September 14, 2017, and an in-person

- 1 settlement conference, all of the parties to this proceeding
- 2 carefully explored the risks to Avista associated with its
- 3 proposed acquisition by a Canadian utility whose largest
- 4 shareholder is the Province of Ontario. All of the parties
- 5 discussed these risks and developed a set of 73 Stipulated
- 6 Commitments designed to ensure the independence and financial
- 7 health of Avista in light of the fact that Hydro One's largest
- 8 shareholder is the Province of Ontario.
- 9 I firmly believe that the 73 Stipulated Commitments will
- 10 fully protect Avista's independence and financial health if the
- 11 merger is consummated, and the events since the June 7, 2018
- 12 election do not change my conclusion.
- The Province will not have jurisdiction to directly affect,
- 14 interact with, or directly interfere with the management and
- 15 strategic direction of Avista if the merger is consummated. The
- 16 Province cannot pass laws that apply to Avista. Rather, if the
- 17 merger is consummated, Hydro One's ownership of Avista will be
- 18 constrained by the 73 Stipulated Commitments, any commitments
- 19 included in the Commission's order approving the merger, and
- 20 the laws of the United States and the five states in which
- 21 Avista operates (Idaho, Washington, Oregon, Montana, and
- 22 Alaska).
- 23 Q. How do the Stipulated Commitments protect Avista's
- 24 independence and ensure that the Province cannot directly

## interfere with Avista's management and strategic direction?

2 Stipulated Commitment No. 3 provides that only two of 3 the nine members of Avista's post-merger board can be executives of Hydro One or any of its subsidiaries. The other three Hydro 4 5 One designees must be independent of Hydro One, Avista, and Hydro One's other affiliates, and be residents of the Pacific 6 7 Northwest. Further, of the four Avista designees, initially will be from Avista's pre-merger board, including the 8 9 Chairman of Avista's pre-merger board, and the fourth will be 10 Avista's CEO. If any Avista designee resigns, retires, or otherwise ceases to serve as a director of Avista, then the 11 12 remaining Avista designees will have the sole right to replace 13 the departing Avista designee.

14 Presuming that one of the two Hydro One executives on 15 Avista's post-merger board was directed by the Hydro One Board 16 to bring to the Avista post-merger board an initiative that 17 would benefit Hydro One and/or Ontario but diminish Avista's 18 financial resources and service, the seven remaining members of 19 Avista's post-merger board, all of whom will not be executives 20 of Hydro One, would have sufficient votes to reject that 21 initiative.

ensure the independence of Avista's post-merger board and the

continued service of Avista's executive management and long
Scarlett, Supp 30

Hydro One Limited

Further, Stipulated Commitment Nos. 2, 9, and 10 also

1 term presence in the Pacific Northwest:

#### 2. Executive Management

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

Avista will seek to retain all current executive management of Avista, subject to voluntary retirements that may occur. This commitment will not limit Avista's ability to determine its organizational structure and select and retain personnel best able to meet Avista's needs over time. The Avista board retains the ability to dismiss executive management of Avista and other Avista personnel for standard corporate reasons (subject to the approval of Hydro One Limited ("Hydro One") for any hiring, dismissal or replacement of the CEO);

#### 9. Avista's Headquarters

Avista will, and Hydro One agrees Avista will, maintain (a) its headquarters in Spokane, Washington; (b) Avista's office locations in each of its other service territories, and (c) no less of a significant presence in the immediate location of each of such office locations than what Avista and its subsidiaries maintained immediately prior to completion of the Proposed Transaction;

#### 10. Local Staffing

- Avista will maintain Avista Utilities' staffing and presence in the communities in which Avista operates at levels sufficient to maintain the provision of safe and reliable service and cost-effective operations and consistent with pre-acquisition levels;
- The Supplemental Testimony of Hydro One's and Avista's
- 28 Expert Witness John J. Reed ("Reed Testimony") discusses these
- 29 governance commitments and explains how they represent the
- 30 state-of-the-art for a utility merger. Reed Testimony, § III.
- 31 Q. What tools do the Stipulated Commitments provide the
- 32 Commission to ensure Hydro One's long-term financial support of
- 33 Avista's safety and reliability standards, service quality
- measures, and customer service metrics?

- 1 A. In addition to the protections provided by the
- 2 independence of Avista's post-merger board, Stipulated
- 3 Commitment No. 15 limits the Avista post-merger board's and
- 4 Hydro One's ability to remove or reduce any associated penalty
- 5 provisions for 10 years after the date of the merger:

# 15. Safety and Reliability Standards and Service Quality Measures

Avista has established Service Quality Performance Standards, Customer Guarantees and a Service Quality Measure Report Card for its customers in Washington. Avista is currently working with the Idaho Commission Staff to develop similar performance standards, customer guarantees and a reporting mechanism for its customers in Idaho. Following Idaho Commission approval of such standards, customer guarantees and a reporting mechanism, Avista will not seek, and Hydro One agrees Avista will not seek, to remove or reduce any associated penalty provisions for ten (10) years after the date of the merger.

19

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

- 20 Q. What tools do the Stipulated Commitments provide the
- 21 Commission to ensure Hydro One will financially support Avista
- 22 and cannot withdraw dividends from Avista if Avista's financial
- 23 health is in jeopardy?
- 24 A. In addition to the protections provided by the
- 25 independence of Avista's post-merger board, Stipulated
- 26 Commitment Nos. 26 and 34-39 require Hydro One to financially
- 27 support Avista and limit the Avista post-merger board's and
- 28 Hydro One's ability to withdraw dividends from Avista if
- 29 Avista's financial health is in jeopardy:

#### 26. Avista Capital Structure

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

2425

26

27

2.8

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

At all times following the closing of the Proposed Transaction, Avista's actual common equity ratio will be maintained at a level no less than 44 percent. This commitment does not restrict the Commission from ordering a hypothetical capital structure.

#### 34. Capital Structure Support

Hydro One will provide equity to support Avista's capital structure that is designed to allow Avista access to debt financing under reasonable terms and on a sustainable basis.

#### 35. Utility-Level Debt and Preferred Stock

Avista will maintain separate debt and preferred stock, if any, to support its utility operations.

#### 36. Continued Credit Ratings

Each of Hydro One and Avista will continue to be rated by at least one nationally recognized statistical "Rating Agency." Hydro One and Avista will use reasonable best efforts to obtain and maintain a separate credit rating for Avista from at least one Rating Agency within the ninety (90) days following the closing of the Proposed Transaction. If Hydro One and Avista are unable to obtain or maintain the separate rating for Avista, they will make a filing with the Commission explaining the basis for their failure to obtain or maintain such separate credit rating for Avista, and parties to this proceeding will have an opportunity to participate and propose additional commitments.

#### 37. Credit Ratings Notification

Hydro One and Avista agree to notify the Commission within two business days of any downgrade of Avista's credit rating to a non-investment grade status by S&P, Moody's, or any other such ratings agency that issues such ratings with respect to Avista.

#### 38. Restrictions on Upward Dividends and Distributions

a. If either (i) Avista's corporate credit/issuer rating as determined by both Moody's and S&P, or their successors,

Scarlett, Supp 33 Hydro One Limited

- is investment grade, or (ii) the ratio of Avista's EBITDA to Avista's interest expense is greater than or equal to 3.0, then distributions from Avista to Olympus Equity LLC shall not be limited so long as Avista's equity ratio is equal to or greater than 44 percent on the date of such Avista distribution after giving effect to such Avista distribution, except to the extent the Commission establishes a lower equity ratio for ratemaking purposes. Both the EBITDA and equity ratio shall be calculated on the same basis that such calculations would be made for ratemaking purposes for regulated utility operations.
- b. Under any other circumstances, distributions from Avista to Olympus Equity LLC are allowed only with prior Commission approval.
- c. If Avista does not have an investment-grade rating from both Moody's and S&P, or from one of these entities, or its successor, if only one issues ratings with respect to Avista, and the ratio of EBITDA to Avista's interest expense is less than 3.0, no dividend distribution to Olympus Equity LLC or its successors will occur.

#### 21 **39. Pension Funding**

- Avista will maintain its pension funding policy in accordance with sound actuarial practice. Hydro One will not seek to change Avista's pension funding policy.
- The Reed Testimony discusses these financial ring-fencing
- 26 commitments and explains how they represent the state-of-the-
- 27 art for a utility merger. Reed Testimony, § IV.
- Q. What tools do the Stipulated Commitments provide the
- 29 Commission to ensure Hydro One will not draw Avista into

## 30 bankruptcy?

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

- 31 A. In addition to the protections provided by the
- 32 independence of Avista's post-merger board, Stipulated
- 33 Commitment Nos. 42-51 ensure Hydro One will not draw Avista into

- 1 bankruptcy except under extremely limited circumstances.
- 2 Although I will not repeat the substance of these commitments
- 3 in this testimony, the Reed Testimony discusses these bankruptcy
- 4 commitments and explains how they represent the state-of-the-
- 5 art in bankruptcy protections for a utility merger, including
- 6 requirements for a Golden Share and a non-consolidation opinion.
- 7 Reed Testimony, § IV.
- 8 Q. How can the Commission be certain that Hydro One and
- 9 Avista will abide by these Stipulated Commitments?
- 10 A. Stipulated Commitment Nos. 1, 20, 21, 30-33, and 49
- 11 ensure that the commitments apply to Hydro One, cannot be
- 12 amended without Commission approval, and provide the Commission
- 13 (and U.S. courts, if necessary) authority to enforce the
- 14 commitments:

29

# Authority Reserved

- 16 Consistent with and subject to the terms of Exhibits A and 17 B to the Merger Agreement (referred to as "Delegation of 18 Authority") contained in Appendix 5 of the 19 Application, decision-making authority over commitments 2-20 15 below is reserved to the Board of Directors of Avista 21 Corporation ("Avista") and not to Hydro One. Any change to 22 the policies stated in commitments 2-15 requires a two-23 thirds (2/3) vote of the Avista Board, provided that Avista 24 must obtain approval for such changes from all regulatory 25 bodies with jurisdiction over the Commitments before such changes can go into effect, and provide written notice to 26 27 all parties to Case No. AVU-E-7-09/AVU-G-17-05 of such 28 request for approval:
  - 20. State Regulatory Authority and Jurisdiction
- 30 Hydro One and its subsidiaries, including Avista, as

applicable and as appropriate, will comply with all applicable laws, including those pertaining to transfers of property, affiliated interests, and securities and the assumption of obligations and liabilities. As required by and consistent with applicable laws, venue for resolution of proceedings related to these matters will be at the appropriate state utility commission(s). Hydro One and its subsidiaries, including Avista, will make their employees and officers available to testify before the Commission at the Commission's request to provide information relevant to the matters within its jurisdiction.

## 21. Compliance with Existing Commission Orders

1 2

 Hydro One and its subsidiaries, including Avista, acknowledge that all existing orders issued by the Commission with respect to Avista or its predecessor, Washington Water Power Co., will remain in effect, and are not modified or otherwise affected by the Proposed Transaction.

Hydro One and its subsidiaries, including Avista, as applicable and as appropriate, will comply with all applicable future Commission orders that remain in force.

## 30. Commission Enforcement of Commitments

Hydro One and its subsidiaries, including Avista, understand that the Commission has authority to enforce these commitments in accordance with their terms. If there is a violation of the terms of these commitments, then the offending party may the discretion of the Commission, have a period of thirty (30) calendar days to cure such violation.

The scope of this commitment includes the authority of the Commission to compel the attendance of witnesses from Olympus Holding Corp. and its affiliates, including Hydro One, with pertinent information on matters affecting Avista. Hydro One and Olympus Holding Corp. and its subsidiaries waive their rights to interpose any legal objection they might otherwise have to the Commission's jurisdiction to require the appearance of any such witnesses.

# 31. Submittal to State Court Jurisdiction for Enforcement of Commission Orders

Hydro One, Olympus Holding Corp., and Avista will jointly file with the Commission prior to closing the Proposed Transaction an affidavit affirming that they will submit to the jurisdiction of the relevant state courts for enforcement of the Commission's orders adopting the commitments made by and binding upon them and their affiliates where noted, and subsequent orders affecting Avista.

#### 32. Annual Report on Commitments

By May 1, 2019 and each May 1 thereafter through May 1, 2029, Avista will file, and Hydro One agrees Avista will file, a report with the Commission regarding the status of compliance with each of the commitments as of December 31 of the preceding year. The report will, at a minimum, provide a description of the performance of each of the commitments, will be filed in Case No. AVU-E-I7-09/AVU-G-17-05 and served to all parties to the docket. If any commitment is not being met, relative to the specific terms of the commitment, the report must provide proposed corrective measures and target dates for completion of such measures. Avista will make publicly available at the Commission non-confidential portions of the report.

#### 33. Commitments Binding

Hydro One, Olympus Holding Corp. and its subsidiaries, including Avista, acknowledge that the commitments being made by them are binding only upon them and their affiliates where noted, and their successors in interest. Hydro One and Avista are not requesting in this proceeding a determination of the prudence, just and reasonable character, rate or ratemaking treatment, or public interest of the investments, expenditures or actions referenced in the commitments, and the parties in appropriate proceedings may take such positions regarding the prudence, just and reasonable character, rate or ratemaking treatment, or public interest of the investments, expenditures or actions as they deem appropriate.

If Hydro One or any other entity in the chain of Avista's ownership determines that Avista or any other entity has failed to comply with an applicable Commitment, the entity making such determinations shall take all appropriate actions to achieve compliance with the Commitment.

#### 49. No Amendment of Ring-Fencing Provisions

Scarlett, Supp 37 Hydro One Limited Hydro One, Olympus Holding Corp. and Avista commit that no material amendments, revisions or modifications will be made to the ring-fencing provisions as specified in these regulatory commitments without prior Commission approval pursuant to a limited re-opener for the sole purpose of addressing the ring-fencing provisions.

1 2

3

5

6

- 7 Several of the Stipulated Commitments in the Idaho Q. Stipulated Settlement require Avista's shareholder, Hydro One, 8 and not Avista's ratepayers, to provide funding for certain 9 10 programs (Stipulated Commitment Nos. 11 Community Contributions; 19 - Rate Credits; 58 - Funding for Energy 11 12 Efficiency, Weatherization, Conservation, and Low-Income Assistance Programs; 61 - Community Contributions; 70 - Montana 13 14 Community Transition Fund). How can the Commission be certain 15 that Hydro One, as Avista's sole shareholder, will ensure there is funding for these Stipulated Commitments? 16
- A. First, as detailed in the Supplemental Testimony of Chris Lopez, § III, the \$15.8 million rate credit will simply flow through to Avista customers in the bills issued by Avista. No cash from Hydro One is needed.
- Second, Stipulated Commitment Nos. 58 and 70 clearly state
  that the funds for these programs will be arranged by Hydro One
  and Stipulated Commitment No. 66 provides that "any commitment
  that states Hydro One will arrange funding is not contingent on
  Hydro One's ability to arrange funding, particularly from
  outside sources, but is a firm commitment to provide the dollar

1 amount specified over the time period specified and for the

2 purposes specified. ... Avista will not seek cost recovery for

3 any of the commitments funded or arranged by Hydro One in this

4 list of merger commitments. Hydro One will not seek cost

5 recovery for such funds from ratepayers in Canada or the United

6 States." Therefore, Hydro One, as Avista's sole shareholder,

7 ultimately bears the cost of these commitments.

8 Third, Stipulated Commitment No. 66 also establishes that

9 if Avista has retained earnings that would otherwise be

10 available to Hydro One as dividends, those retained earnings

11 can be used to fund Stipulated Commitment Nos. 11, 58, 61, and

12 70: "To the extent Avista has retained earnings that are

13 available for payment of dividends to Olympus Equity LLC

consistent with the ring fencing provisions of this list of

15 merger commitments, such retained earnings may be used. Funds

16 available from other Hydro One affiliates may be used without

17 limitation." <sup>5</sup> In essence, funds otherwise available for payment

18 of dividends to Olympus Equity and on up the chain will instead

19 be directed to funding these commitments, as explained in

20 Supplemental Testimony of Chris Lopez, § III.

14

21 Fourth, as noted in response to the previous question,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Avista's ability to use retained earnings to meet these commitments also will be governed by Hydro One's commitments in Stipulated Commitment Nos. 26, 34, 36-37.

Stipulated Commitment No. 33 establishes that Hydro One and/or 1 2 its subsidiaries are bound by the Stipulated Commitments, 3 Stipulated Commitment No. 30 subjects Hydro One and/or its 4 subsidiaries to the jurisdiction of the Commission for 5 enforcement of the Stipulated Commitments, and Stipulated 6 Commitment No. 31 provides that "Hydro One, Olympus Holding Corp., and Avista will jointly file with the Commission prior 7 to closing the Proposed Transaction an affidavit affirming that 8 they will submit to the jurisdiction of the relevant state 9 10 courts for enforcement of the Commission's orders adopting the 11 commitments made by and binding upon them and their affiliates 12 noted, subsequent orders affecting where and 13 Therefore, Hydro One, as Avista's sole shareholder, and/or its 14 subsidiaries, have submitted to the jurisdiction of Commission and Idaho courts for the enforcement of all of the 15 16 Stipulated Commitments, including those that require Hydro One 17 funding. Fifth, if the merger is consummated, the Province will not 18 have jurisdiction to modify or nullify the 73 Stipulated 19 20 Commitments and any conditions included in the Commission's 21 order approving the merger. Hydro One is bound by these 22 contractual obligations. Even though the Province 23 shareholder of Hydro One, Hydro One is the entity that bears the full legal responsibility for the 73 Stipulated Commitments 24 Scarlett, Supp 40

Hydro One Limited

- 1 and any commitments included in the Commission's order approving
- 2 the merger if the merger is consummated. The Province is not a
- 3 party to Hydro One's contracts and commitments in this
- 4 proceeding and no action on the part of the Province is required
- 5 for Hydro One to fulfill its obligations.
- 6 Q. Does Section 8.1 of the Governance Agreement make any
- 7 of Hydro One's financial and funding commitments in the
- 8 Stipulated Settlement subject to Provincial appropriations?
- 9 A. No, none of the commitments are contingent on
- 10 Provincial funding because none calls for any payment by the
- 11 Province. Section 8.1 of the Governance Agreement states:
- 12 8.1 Financial Obligations of the Province
- Pursuant to the [Financial Administration Act (Ontario)],
- any payment required to be made by the Province pursuant
- 15 to this Agreement is subject to there being sufficient
- appropriation by the Legislative Assembly of Ontario for the fiscal year in which the payment is to be made or the
- the fiscal year in which the payment is to be made or the payment having been charged to appropriation for a previous
- 19 year.
- 20 This provision in the Governance Agreement applies only to "any
- 21 payment required to be made by the Province pursuant to this
- 22 [Governance] Agreement ...." The Province has no payment
- 23 obligations, whatsoever, pursuant to Hydro One's contracts and
- 24 commitments related the Proposed Transaction. Therefore, to
- 25 the extent Section 8.1 limits the Province's payment obligations
- 26 to the availability of appropriated funds, such limitations have
- 27 no bearing or legal relationship to Hydro One's contractual

- 1 obligations with respect to the Proposed Transaction.
- Q. Testimony previously filed in this proceeding by
- 3 Avista's CEO Scott Morris and Hydro One's former CEO Mayo
- 4 Schmidt emphasized the strong relationship between the two CEOs
- 5 and the cultural compatibility of Hydro One and Avista. Does
- 6 the retirement of Mr. Schmidt and the Province's actions change
- 7 this justification for the Proposed Transaction?
- 8 A. No. While the strong relationship between Mr. Morris
- 9 and Mr. Schmidt certainly contributed to a meeting of the minds
- 10 on the terms of the merger agreement between Hydro One and
- 11 Avista, any corporate transaction of this size is not dependent
- 12 on the relationship of two executives. Eventually, executives
- 13 retire or leave a company to pursue other opportunities. Both
- 14 sides understood this and negotiated a merger agreement, the
- 15 delegation of authority described in Mr. Morris's and Mr.
- 16 Schmidt's direct testimony, and merger commitments that provide
- 17 a very clear framework for the interaction of the two companies.
- 18 Hydro One's commitments to (i) an Avista board with Avista
- 19 directors and independent directors (as defined by the NYSE
- 20 rules) ("Independent Directors") from the Pacific Northwest,
- 21 (ii) continued headquarters in Spokane, WA, (iii) the continued
- 22 service of Avista's executives, management, and employees, (iv)
- 23 Avista board control over the hiring and replacement of Avista's
- 24 CEO, (v) Avista's day-to-day management of its business, and

- 1 (vi) substantial charitable and community contributions, are
- 2 all preserved in contractual documents that continue long past
- 3 the tenure of any single executive involved in the negotiation
- 4 of the Proposed Transaction. Moreover, the commitments are
- 5 sufficiently clear and detailed that responsibility for
- 6 implementation will fall not on the CEOs but on other staff.

7

8

## IX. PROPOSED NEW OR AMENDED COMMITMENTS

- 9 Q. Despite your conclusion that the merger commitments
- 10 in the Stipulated Settlement will fully protect Avista from
- 11 Provincial interference if the merger is consummated, have Hydro
- 12 One and Avista proposed any additional commitments to respond
- 13 to the events in the Province since July 11, 2018?
- 14 A. Yes. Hydro One and Avista have proposed one
- 15 additional merger commitment since July 11, 2018:
- 16 Avista Employee Compensation: Any decisions 17 regarding Avista employee compensation shall be made 18 by the Avista Board consistent with the terms of the 19 Merger Agreement between Hydro One and Avista, and 20 current market standards and prevailing practices of 21 relevant U.S. electric and gas utility benchmarks. 22 The determination of the level of any compensation 23 (including equity awards) approved by the Avista Board 24 with respect to any employee in accordance with the 25 foregoing shall not be subject to change by Hydro One 26 or the Hydro One Board.
- 27 See Letter from Joint Applicants Regarding Update on Recent
- 28 Changes in Hydro One Management, AVU-E-17-09, AVU-G-17-05, (July
- 29 18, 2018), pp. 5-6.

1	Although the Hydro One Accountability Act does not apply
2	to Avista if the merger is consummated, Avista and Hydro One
3	have agreed upon this additional commitment to provide further
4	protection to Avista's employees, such that Avista will be able
5	to continue to recruit and retain the most highly qualified

Q. In addition to the above commitment which Hydro One and Avista have already proposed, would you adopt any additional commitments relating to Avista governance and enforcement of the commitments?

employee talent base for Avista's customers.

- 11 A. Although Hydro One and Avista believe the current
  12 Idaho commitments are sufficiently robust to insulate Avista's
  13 customers in Idaho from any potential effects of political,
  14 management, or rate changes at Hydro One, Hydro One and Avista
  15 would be willing to adopt any of the following Oregon
  16 commitments in Idaho:
- Oregon Stipulated Commitment No. 4 (compare Idaho

  Stipulated Commitment No. 2)

# Executive Management

6

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Subject to the remaining provisions of this commitment and subject to voluntary retirements and resignations that may occur, Avista and Parent agree that Avista will retain all current executive management of Avista for a period of three years. This commitment will not limit Avista's ability to determine its organizational structure and select and retain personnel best able to meet Avista's needs over time. The post-Proposed Transaction Avista board retains its current ability to dismiss executive management

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of Avista and other Avista personnel for standard corporate reasons. Any decision to hire, dismiss or replace the Chief Executive Officer of Avista shall be within the discretion of the Avista Board of Directors, and shall not require any approval of Hydro One or any of its affiliates (other than Avista), notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the merger agreement, and its exhibits and attachments, between Hydro One and Avista.
9	Oregon Stipulated Commitment No. 5 (compare Idaho
10	Stipulated Commitment No. 3)
11	Avista Board of Directors (BOD)
12 13 14 15	Avista and Hydro One agree that after closing of the Proposed Transaction, Avista will have a separate board of directors from Hydro One that consists of nine (9) members, determined as follows:
16	Five Hydro One Designated Directors:
17 18	Two executives of Hydro One or any of its subsidiaries, and
19 20	Three Independent Directors who are residents of the Pacific Northwest Region.
21	Four Avista Designated Directors:
22 23 24 25 26	Three directors who as of immediately prior to the closing of the Proposed Transaction are members of the Board of Directors of Avista, including the Chairman of Avista's Pre-Merger Board of Directors (if such person is different from the Chief Executive Officer of Avista), and
27	Avista's Chief Executive Officer.
28	At least two of the Avista directors must be Independent

The initial Chairman of Avista's post-closing Board of Directors shall be the Chief Executive Officer of Avista as of the time immediately prior to closing for a one year term. If any Avista designee resigns, retires or otherwise

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36 Directors.

ceases to serve as a director of Avista for any reason, the remaining Avista designees shall have the sole right

the remaining Avista designees shall have the sole right to nominate a replacement director to fill such vacancy,

Scarlett, Supp 45 Hydro One Limited

- and such person shall thereafter become an Avista designee. Hydro One shall have the unfettered right to designate, remove and replace the Hydro One designees as directors of the Avista Board with or without cause or notice at its sole discretion, subject to the requirement that:
  - (i) two of such directors are executives of Parent or any of its subsidiaries; and
  - (ii) three of such directors are Independent Directors who are residents of the Pacific Northwest region, while such requirement is in effect (subject in the case of clause (ii) hereof to Hydro One determining, in good faith, that it is not able to appoint an Independent Director who is a resident of the Pacific Northwest region in a timely manner, in which case Hydro One may replace any such director with an employee of Hydro One or any of its subsidiaries on an interim basis, not exceeding six months, after which time Hydro One shall replace such interim director with an Independent Director who is a resident of the Pacific Northwest region); provided, however, that this exception to clause (ii) hereof shall not apply if, at any time a circumstance arises, and during the pendency of any circumstance, whereby the Province of Ontario ("Ontario") exercises its rights as a shareholder of Parent, uses legislative authority or acts in any other manner whatsoever, that results, or would result, in Ontario appointing nominees to the board of directors of Parent that constitute, or would constitute a majority of the directors of such board).
- Note that the additional language underlined and in italics was not included in Oregon Stipulated Commitment No. 5 filed on May 25, 2018. However, Hydro One and Avista proposed this addition in their Oregon supplemental testimony filed on August 30, 2018, and in their Washington supplemental testimony filed
- 34 on September 6, 2018.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

36

- 35 Oregon Stipulated Commitment No. 38 (no comparable
  - commitment in Idaho)

#### Environmental Liabilities of Parent

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

Hydro One will hold Avista and Avista ratepayers harmless from any environmental obligations or liabilities of Hydro One or its affiliates other than Avista, including those associated with harmful substances such as asbestos or polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and environmental cleanup and restoration.

- Q. Have Avista and Hydro One discussed making any revisions to the Delegation of Authority (Appendix 5 of the Joint Application) to respond to the events after the June 7,
- 12 A. Yes. Avista and Hydro One propose to amend this
- 13 section of the Delegation of Authority as follows:

2018 Ontario election involving Hydro One?

Shareholder shall have the unfettered right to designate, remove and replace the Shareholder Designees as directors of the Surviving Corporation with or without cause or notice at its sole discretion, subject to the requirement that (i) two (2) of such directors are executives of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries and (ii) three (3) of such directors are Independent Directors who are residents Pacific Northwest Region, requirement is in effect (subject in the case of clause (ii) hereof to Shareholder determining, in good faith, that it is not able to appoint an Independent Director who is a resident of the Pacific Northwest Region in a timely manner, in which case Shareholder may replace any such director with an employee of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries on an interim basis, not exceeding six months, after which time Shareholder shall replace such interim director with Independent Director who is a resident of the Pacific Northwest Region+; provided, however, that this exception to clause (ii) hereof shall not apply if, at any time a circumstance arises, and during the pendency of any such circumstance, whereby the Province of Ontario ("Ontario") exercises its rights as a shareholder of Parent, uses legislative authority or acts in any other manner whatsoever, that results, or would

1 2 3	result, in Ontario appointing nominees to the board of directors of Parent that constitute, or would constitute a majority of the directors of such board)
4	Q. What is the purpose of the new text in the Delegation
5	of Authority?
6	A. This proposed amendment to the Delegation of Authority
7	is designed to protect the independence of the Avista board in
8	the event that the Province takes some action in the future to
9	control a majority of the Hydro One Board. If that event occurs
10	this amendment is triggered and blocks Hydro One's limited right
11	to replace any of its three Independent Director designees or
12	the Avista board with a Hydro One executive or employee.
13	
14	X. ADOPTION OF MAYO SCHMIDT TESTIMONY
15	Q. Are you aware of testimony previously submitted by
16	Mayo Schmidt?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. What testimony was previously submitted by Mayo
19	Schmidt?
20	A. The testimony previously submitted by Mayo Schmid
21	includes:
22	• Direct Testimony of Mayo M. Schmidt, submitted
23	September 14, 2017, including Exh. No. 2, Schedules
24	1 and 2
25	<ul> <li>Avista and Hydro One Joint Comments in Support of Scarlett, Supp 48</li> <li>Hydro One Limited</li> </ul>

Stipulation and Settlement, submitted June 20, 2018 1 2 Do you adopt Mayo Schmidt's testimony as your own? 0. Yes, subject to (i) the Province's ability to 3 Α. introduce, or threaten, legislation, as evidenced by the events 4 that have transpired, (ii) the passage of the Hydro One 5 Accountability Act, 2018, and (iii) the government's promise to 6 reduce rates in Ontario. 7 8 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

9

10

Α.

Yes it does.